

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO		
10/791,215	03/02/2004	George E. Sakoske	FER-15313.002: 3941		
7609 7590 01/06/2006 RANKIN, HILL, PORTER & CLARK, LLP			EXAMINER		
			PADGETT, MARIANNE L		
925 EUCLID AVENUE, SUITE 700 CLEVELAND, OH 44115-1405			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1762		
			DATE MAILED: 01/06/200	6 .	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/791,215	SAKOSKE, GEORGE E.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Marianne L. Padgett	1762		

	Marianne L. Padgett	1762	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 December 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS			
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orightan three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>12 October 2005</u>. A br the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply <u>AMENDMENTS</u></li> </ol>	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	41.37(e)), to avoid dis	missal of the
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in below</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See continuing sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116	and 41.33(a)).		(DTOL 224)
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>	: The objection to claims 18 and 19	9 due to in proper der	endence.
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 7-19. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wivided below or appended.	ill be entered and an o	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affidar	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by See continuing sheet.			nce because:
<ul> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> <li>13. ☐ Other: 2 PTO-892 &amp; 2 PTOL-413 B; See continuing she</li> </ul>		No(s)	
	•		

Application/Control Number: 10/791,215

Art Unit: 1762

#### Continuation of 3.

The proposed amendments to claims 18 in 19 which now positively recites "crystal seed powder" and "metal and metal oxide materials" creates the new issues of whether or not this now positively recited narrower composition overcomes the combination of references based on Heyman, Axtell, Boaz & Sakoske.

#### Continuation of 11.

While it is noted that the coatings for the laser ablation processes of Heyman's or Axtell's can be inclusive of glass frits, pigments, metal oxides, metal containing compounds and/or silicates, they do not use the particular silicates claimed, nor the overall combination including both metals and metal oxides. Also, while Heyman's teaching that there inorganic silicates upon suitable heating or firing effect integration of the coating and substrate body with one another, can be inclusive of crystal seed powders and their effects, they do not necessitate the use of materials that act as nuclei for further crystallization, as would the now unambiguously specified silicate crystals seed powders. While Heyman et al. laser ablates in the "green" state, their composition while in part related to that claimed and that in the tertiary reference to Sakoske, does not necessarily show the laser ablatability of the ceramic enamel compositions as in the proposed claims, and Axtell while showing laser marking of automobile glass, does not ablate. However, Sahi (2002/0177065 A1) provides superior linking teachings to those of Axtell, as Sahi teaches laser marking, such as for serial numbers, of ceramic enamel compositions on automotive glass before firing to form an enamel ([0003], [0008], [0014-15], [0018], [0022-23] & [0025]), including teachings of preferred deposition by silk screening and that any suitable

Application/Control Number: 10/791,215

Art Unit: 1762

enamel containing finely ground glass or ceramic frit and optionally finely powdered lead may be employed, thus providing the expectation that the compositions as taught in Sakoske would have been effective in a laser ablation process as suggested by Heyman et al. plus Sahi.

Therefore the new narrower scope as suggested by the proposed amendment does not appear to place the claims in condition for allowance; hence the proposed amendments are not entered.

Continuation of 13. Other art of interest is noted to include Kupisiewicz (663-9178 B2) & Tweadey, II et al. (5131967), who teach controlled patterned laser removal of coatings on glass substrates, inclusive of use for windshields, but while the coatings may include metals or metal oxides, they are neither screen printed nor of overall claimed compositions.

The examiner notes that in the specification on page 1, [0001], line 2, the continuing data needs to be updated by inserting --, now abandoned,-- after "2001".

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marianne L. Padgett whose telephone number is (571) 272-1425. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from about 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks, can be reached at (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

Art Unit: 1762

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MLP 12/21/2005

1/3/2006

MARIANNE PADGET I PRIMARY EXAMINER

# Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/791,215	SAKOSKE, GEOR	GE E.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Marianne L. Padgett	1762	

	Marianne L. Pad		1762	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover s	sheet with the co	orrespondence add	dress
The amendment document filed on <u>6 December 2005</u> is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required.				
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE A  1. Amendments to the specification:  A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include  B. New paragraph(s) should not be under  C. Other	markings.	CUMENT TO E	BE NON-COMPLI	ANT:
<ul><li>2. Abstract:</li><li>A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37</li><li>B. Other</li></ul>	' CFR 1.72.			
<ul> <li>3. Amendments to the drawings:</li> <li>A. The drawings are not properly identifie</li> <li>"Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 C</li> <li>B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing amended figures, without ma</li> <li>C. Other</li> </ul>	CFR 1.121(d). rawing correction h	nas been elimin	ated. Replaceme	ent drawings
<ul> <li>✓ 4. Amendments to the claims:</li> <li>☐ A. A complete listing of all of the claims is</li> <li>☐ B. The listing of claims does not include t</li> <li>☐ C. Each claim has not been provided with of each claim cannot be identified. No number by using one of the following s (Previously presented), (New), (Not er</li> <li>☐ D. The claims of this amendment paper h</li> <li>☑ E. Other: Not all amendments made to claims.</li> </ul>	the text of all pend in the proper status of etc. the status of etc. status identifiers: ( intered), (Withdraw have not been pres	identifier, and every claim mus Original), (Curr n) and (Withdra sented in ascen	as such, the indiv st be indicated afte ently amended), ( awn-currently ame	idual status er its claim Canceled), ended).
For further explanation of the amendment format require <a href="http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preogno">http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preogno</a>			714 and the USP	TO website at
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTIC	CE:			
<ol> <li>Applicant is given no new time period if the non-co filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted</li> </ol>	t the non-complian	it after-final am	endment with corr	ections, the
2. Applicant is given <b>one month</b> , or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the <b>corrected section</b> of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a <i>Quayle</i> action.				
Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR amendment or an amendment filed in response to			t amendment is a	non-final
Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in:  Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action; or  Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental				
amendment.		MARIANNE PA PRIMARY EXA		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-324 (11-04)

Part of Paper No. 2006